



Acute coronary syndrome in the Asia-Pacific region



Mark Y. Chan ^{a,*,1}, Xin Du ^b, David Eccleston ^c, Changsheng Ma ^b, Padinhare P. Mohanan ^d, Manabu Ogita ^e, Kou-Gi Shyu ^f, Bryan P. Yan ^g, Young-Hoon Jeong ^{h,*,1}

^a National University Heart Centre, Singapore

^b Beijing AnZhen Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China

^c University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia

^d West Fort Hospital, Thrissur, India

^e Juntendo University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan

^f Shin Kong Wu Ho-Su Memorial Hospital, Taiwan

^g The Chinese University of Hong Kong (Prince of Wales Hospital), Hong Kong

^h Gyeongsang National University Hospital, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine, Jinju, Korea

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 6 November 2014

Received in revised form 26 March 2015

Accepted 9 April 2015

Available online 11 April 2015

Keywords:

Acute coronary syndromes

Asia-Pacific

Medical management

Antiplatelet

Myocardial infarction

ABSTRACT

More than 4.2 billion inhabitants populate the Asia-Pacific region. Acute coronary syndrome (ACS) is now a major cause of death and disability in this region with in-hospital mortality typically exceeding 5%. Yet, the region still lacks consensus on the best approach to overcoming its specific challenges in reducing mortality from ACS. The Asia-Pacific Real world evldenCe on Outcome and Treatment of ACS (APRICOT) project reviewed current published and unpublished registry data, unmet needs in ACS management and possible approaches towards improving ACS-related mortality in the region. There was striking heterogeneity in the use of invasive procedures, pharmacologic practice (hospitalization/post-discharge), and in short- and long-term clinical outcomes across healthcare systems; this heterogeneity was perceived to be far greater than in Western Europe or the United States. 'Benchmark' short-term clinical outcomes are preferred over long-term outcomes due to difficulties in follow-up, recording and maintenance of medication adherence in a geographically large and culturally diverse region. Key 'barriers' towards improving outcomes include patient education (pain awareness, consequences of missing medication and secondary prevention), geographical landscape (urban vs. metropolitan), limited long-term adherence to guideline-based management and widespread adoption of cost-based rather than value-based healthcare systems. Initiatives to overcome these barriers should include implementation of pre-hospital management strategies, toolkits to aid in-hospital treatment, greater community outreach with online patient/physician education and telemedicine, sustainable economic models to improve accessibility to effective pharmacotherapies and the acquisition of high-quality 'real-world' regional data to tailor secondary prevention initiatives that meet the unique needs of countries in this region.

© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ireland Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is the leading cause of death worldwide, accounting for 17.3 million deaths in 2008 (or ~30% of all deaths

worldwide). Coronary artery disease and acute coronary syndrome (ACS) together account for approximately 7 million deaths each year [1,2]. The Asia-Pacific region comprises ~50 countries, including seven from 10 of the most densely populated countries worldwide. The region is populated by more than 4.2 billion inhabitants, equivalent to ~60% of the world's population, with a combined gross domestic product of ~US \$18 trillion growing at ~6% per annum [3,4]. ACS is now a leading cause of mortality in the Asia-Pacific region, accounting for around half of the global burden [2]. In fact, due to rapid industrialization, fewer than 50% of patients across the Asia-Pacific region attain the National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III low-density lipoprotein cholesterol target [5,6].

Guidelines for the management of ACS in Australia and New Zealand are generally comparable with those from Europe and the USA [7–13] while guidelines across other parts of Asia show variability according

* Correspondence to: M.Y. Chan, Associate Professor of Medicine, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Senior Consultant Cardiologist, National University Heart Centre, National University Health System, Singapore.

** Correspondence to: Y.-H. Jeong, Director, Clinical Trial Center, Gyeongsang National University Hospital, Director, National Cardiovascular Disease Center Extension, Gyeongsangnam-do, Associate Professor, Division of Cardiology, Department of Internal Medicine, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine, Membership, ESC Working Group on Thrombosis, Sinai Center for Thrombosis Research, and Platelet Research Group in KSIC, 79, Gangnam-ro, Jinju-si, Gyeongsangnam-do, 660-702 Korea.

E-mail addresses: mark_chan@nuhs.edu.sg (M.Y. Chan), gooddoctor@naver.com (Y.-H. Jeong).

¹ Advisory Board Co-Chairs.

to the clinical situation [14–16]. In the industrialized Western world, improved adoption of evidence-based recommendations over the last decade strongly correlates with reduction in both in-hospital and post-discharge ACS-related mortality (up to 25%) [17,18]. These improvements have largely been attributed to timely and effective reperfusion, as well as standardized pharmacological approaches [17]. In contrast, registry-based data from the Asia-Pacific region

Table 1

Mortality data across Asian-Pacific countries according to (A) disease status and (B) invasive versus non-invasive intervention [21–37,40,43,45,47–50,52,54,61–64,68–70].

A. Disease status						
Country/ registry	STEMI (%)	Overall				
		Mortality (%)		PCI (%)	MACE (%)	
		IH	12-month		IH	12-month
Australia/ New Zealand	~35	2–4	5–10	100	–	14–16
	42	–	10	14–71	–	–
	~10*	4.5	–	43	5–29	–
	–	–	–	–	–	–
China	~44	1–7	–	49	–	–
	43	5	–	38 [†]	–	–
	63	≥19	–	<25	–	–
	0	–	8 ^d	23	–	–
	99	–	–	12	10	–
	100	–	12–25	20–31	–	–
	16	1	3	65	2	9
	0	1	–	44	3	–
India	61	–	7 ^a	8	–	–
	37	4	–	12	6	–
Japan	79	9	–	74	40	–
	100	3	–	97	–	–
	–	6	9	100	7–11	14–21 ^e
	59	2	4	94	–	6 ^d
Korea	60	4–6	11–14	–	–	20–23
	100	–	7–13	96–97	–	10–17
Malaysia	47	4–10	–	13–17	–	–
	52	1	–	100	–	–
Singapore	–	–	25 ^b	–	–	–
	30	43–55	–	–	–	–
	0	13 MM <1 PCI	21 MM <1 PCI	54–65	–	23–26 MM 11–13 PCI
	45	6–10	–	43–48	–	–
Taiwan	0	–	–	100	–	9 ^c
	0	–	–8	98	–	–
	52	2	–	84	–	–
Thailand	41	13	–	39–49	–	–
	34	15	–	24–60	–	–
GRACE	30	8	–	18–40	–	–
	–	5	–	–	–	–
USA	38	2	–	71–87 [‡]	–	–
	40	5	–	80	–	–
	21	2 (PCI)	–	100	–	–

Table 1 (continued)

B. Invasive versus non-invasive intervention					
Country/ registry	STEMI (%)	Mortality (%) (invasive treatment)		Mortality (%) (non-invasive treatment)	
		IH	12-month	IH	12-month
Australia/ New Zealand	~35	2–4	5–6	–	–
	21	–	4	–	10
	42	–	<1 ^a	–	2 ^a
China	16	1	–	–	–
	0	1	–	–	–
Japan	ns	7	–	–	–
Korea	0	–	–	15	–
Malaysia	52*	1	–	–	–
	100	–	12 ^a	–	8–13 ^a
Taiwan	ns (53–66 NSTEMI-ACS)	2–19	–	7–24	–
GRACE	30	2–8	–	2–8	–

1A: Abbreviations: CPACS = Clinical Pathways for Acute Coronary Syndromes in China; GRACE = Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events; IH = in hospital; MACE = major adverse cardiovascular event; MM = medical management; PCI = percutaneous coronary intervention; STEMI = ST-elevation myocardial infarction.

^a1-month; ^b3-month; ^c6-month; ^d24-month; ^e36-month.

*4398 patients included 2033 (46%) with unlikely ischaemic chest pain, or other diagnosis; STEMI patients included STEMI and left bundle branch block.

[†]Patients underwent invasive therapy. Reported in secondary CPACS publication [117].

[‡]Percent from STEMI cohort.

Rows highlighted green relate to Advisor/other registry data.

For full data set see Supplementary Table 1.

1B: Abbreviations: GRACE = Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events; IH = in hospital; ns, not specified; NSTEMI-ACS = non-ST-segment elevation ACS; STEMI = ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction^a1-month.

*Figures based only on 44% of all cases who had a history of ACS.

Rows highlighted green relate to Advisor/other registry data; DESIRE (July 2001–June 2004); SUNDAY (Jan 2000–Jan 2002).

For full data set see Supplementary Table 1.

suggest that ACS-related mortality rates have remained relatively high at ~5% during hospitalization and up to double this at 1-year post-discharge (Table 1, and Supplementary Table 1), with the exception of Australia and New Zealand.

In evaluating trends in management practice, ‘real-world’ registry-based outcomes data are intuitively representative of the spectrum of patients encountered in actual clinical practice and a focus on such data will help in driving future healthcare policy and establishing region-specific guideline recommendations. Available registry-based data show strong regional heterogeneity in the use of invasive and pharmacologic practice patterns, and in short- and long-term clinical outcomes across the Asia-Pacific region [19,20]; a situation compounded by the ethnic diversity both within and between Asia-Pacific countries [19,21].

Existing data strongly suggest that there is much potential for improvement in the management of ACS in the Asia-Pacific region. We assembled leading academic teams from seven countries across this region in the Asia-Pacific Real world evldenCe on Outcome and Treatment of ACS (APRICOT) project, to consider possible approaches towards improving clinical outcomes in this region based on their experience and available data towards developing a broad and comprehensive insight into the issues contributing to ACS-related mortality across

the Asia-Pacific region. This review provides a summary of the ensuing discussions.

2. Supporting data

2.1. Search strategy

A systematic PubMed search was conducted (29 May 2013) to identify 'real-world' ACS management data published in the last 20 years from the Asia-Pacific region. From 887 references identified, those considered by the discussants to provide a sufficiently broad overview of ACS management and mortality across the Asia-Pacific region ($n = 54$) were prioritized and used as a 'reference' dataset simply to support discussion (details in Supplementary materials). While potentially subjective, any additional studies/references or unpublished registry-based data available from the author's own center or region considered by the authors as appropriate to consider were included also.

3. Where are we now? Evidence-based insights to ACS outcomes and treatment status in the Asia-Pacific region

Based on personal experience and supportive data, the authors discussed the current status of ACS management in the Asia-Pacific region; identifying key factors and actions that will potentially impact on, or improve, outcomes in this region.

3.1. Mortality

Registry-based mortality data across APAC countries was considered in terms of disease status and invasive versus non-invasive intervention (Table 1 and Supplementary Table 1) [21–55].

According to the multinational Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events (GRACE), which studied 43,810 patients from 1999–2005 in 14 countries excluding Africa and Asia [22] large differences exist in management practice both by hospital type and geographic location despite comparable use of antiplatelets and anticoagulants [22]. While mortality rates at 6 months post-discharge, for example, were comparable with in-hospital rates (~5%), optimal revascularization and statin use could prevent up to 32% and 10% of deaths by 6 months, respectively [23]. Greatest survival at 6 months was associated with timely CABG (odds ratio [OR] for death 0.60; 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.39–0.90) and PCI (OR 0.57; CI 0.48–0.72), and use of clopidogrel (OR 0.84; CI 0.72–0.99) and statins (OR 0.85; CI 0.73–0.99) [56,57].

In terms of national economic status, the Organization to Assess Strategies for Ischemic Syndromes (OASIS)-1 (low- and middle-income countries, 1995–1996) and OASIS-2 (middle- and high-income countries, 1999–2000) registries – both 2-year prospective studies of outcomes in NSTEMI-ACS patients [19] – show little difference in 2-year mortality, despite wide variability in management practices. With the exception of China, where 2-year mortality was only 7% (attributed to an inherent low risk of patients in OASIS-2), overall event rates in OASIS-2 remained high (up to 15%), demonstrating continued risk for long-term mortality, subsequent MI, refractory angina, and stroke, and the need for new approaches to reduce risk [19].

Large registries in the Asia-Pacific region such as the Melbourne Interventional Group Registry (MIG-R) and the Acute Coronary Syndrome Prospective Audit (ACACIA) in Australia comprise populations similar to registries in Europe and Canada [24,25,51,58–60]. Perhaps as expected, ACACIA, which included propensity adjusted scoring for early invasive management, showed little difference both in in-hospital and 12-month ACS-related mortality (2–5%) [26] compared with other large international registries [61–63].

In China, registry-based studies reporting guideline-recommendations show treatments are implemented in only one-third of STEMI patients, with many patients not reperfused within recommended times [28] and

generally high mortality [29,31,32]. Here, the authors expressed caution given clear heterogeneity in the thoroughness of patient follow-up, highly variable methods of data collection and treatment preferences across China (e.g. preferred thrombolysis use in small hospitals versus PCI in grade 3 hospitals providing specialist health services), and broad geographical variation in patient risk profiles i.e., East versus West. Nevertheless, trends towards decreased mortality rates do relate to improved practice in the emergency room/coronary care unit, including increased use of coronary angiography (CS Ma, personal communication).

Data from the treatment and outcomes of acute coronary syndromes in India (CREATE) registry [33] and the more recent Kerala [34] registry show that primary PCI rate is much lower in India compared with more developed countries and while mortality rates are generally comparable they are significantly higher in 'poor' (8.2%) versus 'wealthy' patients (5.5%) [33,34].

In PCI-oriented countries e.g., Japan and Korea, in-hospital and longer-term (6–12 months) mortality rates of 7% and 11%, respectively, were generally higher than for the non-Asian GRACE population (5–6%) [22, 23,52,64–67]. Recent reports of low mortality rates in-hospital (2%), and at 12 (4%) and 24 (6%) months from the Prevention of Atherothrombotic Incidents Following Ischemic Coronary Attack (PACIFIC) registry, [38], can be attributed to proactive performance of PCI. ACS-related outcomes in Korea, are generally comparable with Japan [39], although the Korea Acute Myocardial Infarction Registry (KAMIR) data did show relatively high rates for invasive intervention versus other registry reports and greater mortality for NSTEMI patients long-term (11 month) compared with in-hospital and 1-month mortality [39,68]. These observations suggest a need for more patient-centric intensive surveillance and treatment while the KAMIR cohort data per se may present unique characteristics in Korean PCI practice as well as contemporary trends in PCI.

Based on the Malaysian National Cardiovascular Disease (NCVD)–ACS registry (Malay 49%, Chinese 23%, Indian 23%), fibrinolysis use was relatively high (>70%) and use of invasive procedures low (13–17%) versus other registries. Reported in-hospital and 1 month mortality was reported as higher in Malay patients (7%) compared with other ethnic groups (4–6%) and rates from other Asian registries [41,42,54,69,70] and attributed to a high prevalence of risk factors and complex and high-risk coronary lesions in the Malay cohort along with relatively low use of invasive management and timely reperfusion.

Singapore is one of few countries in the Asia-Pacific region with a state-funded national MI registry i.e., the Singapore Myocardial Infarct Registry (SMIR) Patients hospitalized for AMI from 2000–2005 showed in-hospital mortality (6–10%) to be generally comparable with the non-Asian GRACE cohort (7%) [46] but higher than the cohort from the study of ACS care across Australia and New Zealand (SNAPSHOT ACS: 4.5%) [26]. It was noted, however, that the Singapore population comprises primarily third and fourth generation migrants of Han Chinese, Indian (South Asian), and Malay (Austronesian) descent.

In Taiwan, a 12-month mortality rate of ~8% in patients with ACS admitted to the hospital within 24 h of symptom onset and where 97% received primary PCI, was perhaps higher than expected [47,48,71]. Notably, there is, a significant lag/deviation from evidence-based guidelines in ACS management in Taiwan, notably, use of DAPT, which fell from 75% at discharge to 25% at 1-year follow-up, and other secondary preventive therapies [47,71] suggest more stringent policy adherence may improve long-term outcomes in ACS patients.

Similarly high ACS-related mortality is evident in Thailand (~10–15% in-hospital, and up to 25% at 12 months follow up) although generally confined to elderly patients and those who received only conservative (non-invasive) treatment without coronary angiogram [49,50,72,73]. A more recent evaluation of registry data did show a significant decrease in in-hospital mortality (~6%), with 12-month mortality for NSTEMI [25%] remaining virtually double that for STEMI [74]; however, differences in characteristics and severity of the enrolled patients confound

interpretation and the invasive strategy for these groups of patients should be considered further.

3.2. Practice patterns and access to resources

Data from the MIG-R indicate significant changes in interventional management between 2004–2005 and 2007–2008, with fewer elective but more urgent PCIs, and increased long-term use of clopidogrel for DES [75]. Consequently, the generally lower ACS-related mortality seen in Australia compared with the US [61–63], for example, was attributed in part, to greater uniformity of treatment in teaching hospitals and more timely access to reperfusion including triage from ambulance to catheterization department (D Eccleston, personal communication). Additionally, transition of care is considered increasingly critical to improve outcomes and many major cities in Australia now have centers with 24/7 primary PCI facilities with paramedics 'upskilled' to perform ambulance-based triage (i.e., in-ambulance ECG and direct transfer to catheterization laboratory for PCI).

Benefits from optimal acute and pre-/post-discharge treatment are supported by other registry-based studies, such as the SNAPSHOT ACS study, and the Genesis Heart Care Group Registry (GHCGR), which demonstrate variability in resources and in-hospital MACE rates across different healthcare jurisdictions [26,76].

Prospective observational studies confirm close compliance with guideline-based recommendations for medical management in China, although mortality in in-hospital and by 12 months post discharge remains high, particularly in STEMI patients (Table 1) and in women who are generally older than men, associated mainly with poor access to treatment and manifest delayed time to reperfusion [27–29,31,32,77,78]. Benefit of improved access to treatment is supported by two single-center registry studies in ACS patients (DESIRE and SUNDAY) – albeit mainly in relatively low-risk UA patients receiving PCI (61%) or CABG (94%), DAPT (60%) and antiplatelet monotherapy (30%) – where a median symptom-to-balloon time of only 8.1 h was associated with in-hospital mortality of 1%, which increased to only 4% at 2 years (DESIRE and SUNDAY; author unpublished data) (Table 1).

Benefits from optimal acute and pre-/post-discharge treatment are also supported by the Kerala registry, and the Detection and Management of Coronary Heart Disease (DEMAT) registry in India [20]. Although use of standard pharmacotherapies generally mirrors guidelines and Western registries [34], optimal in-hospital and post-discharge treatment rates are relatively low [20]. The observation that provision of optimal in-hospital medical care was associated with a 21% lower risk of in-hospital MACE and provision of optimal discharge medical care led to the suggestion that strategies to improve overall ACS care in India should initially focus on an improved in-hospital process-of-care. Indeed, in Japan where PCI is frequently used and ~94% of ACS patients are managed in centers with 24/7 primary PCI facilities, registry- and observational study-based data show that a shorter time from symptom onset to intervention is associated with better long-term clinical outcomes and, specifically, an onset-to-balloon time of ≤ 3 h provides better 3-year clinical outcomes [36,38,79].

Similarly, in Korea most ACS patients undergo PCI (~90% receiving DES) [39,40,53,68,80–82]. Here, triple anti-platelet therapy including adjunctive cilostazol is frequently used in high-risk PCI patients as it is more effective than standard DAPT in reducing cardiovascular and total mortality, both in-hospital and at 8 months. Although an increased pharmacotherapy index (up to nine concomitant therapies) provides a trend towards lower in-hospital mortality irrespective of disease status, the prescribing of evidence-based therapy in Korea is generally sub-optimal with a need for new educational strategies to increase the use of secondary prevention measures [53,81].

According to the Malaysian NCVD-ACS registry, approximately half of patients with ACS undergo primary PCI generally with adoption of good practice for DAPT. Notably, such patients tend to be relatively young with a high prevalence of risk factors (e.g., diabetes), complex

high-risk lesions and a median door-to-balloon time for primary PCI (98 min) higher than recommended (<90 min), nevertheless, high procedural success and good pharmacotherapy is associated with a low in-hospital mortality rate (1%) comparable with other registries [42].

In Thailand, which employs a non-PCI and a non-reimbursement policy, ACS-related mortality is generally high. Despite this, improvement in mortality was evident from the Thai Registry in Acute Coronary Syndrome (TRACS, 2007–2008) registry and attributed to a generally better disease status, more PCIs performed, and improved door-to-needle time [49,74]. Nevertheless, ACS-related mortality remains relatively high, and reduced times between first medical contact and treatment, and optimal use of pharmacotherapy post-discharge will perhaps be key to improving outcomes.

3.3. Ethnic differences

Ethnicity is an important factor in regard to outcomes. For example, 5-year follow-up of a contemporary cohort of Singapore Indian, Chinese and Malay patients showed clear ethnic disparities in long-term outcomes despite minimal disparities in in-hospital management with Singapore Indians having the lowest long-term mortality [83]. Although the reason is unclear, Singapore Indians generally belong to the highest socio-economic class, exercise most frequently, smoke the least and adhere most often to healthy food choices [84] suggesting that differential outcomes in different ethnic groups may be due to inter-ethnic socio-cultural and socioeconomic differences along with inherent (genetic) risk factors (e.g. diabetes in India). Further studies are needed to gain understanding of potential variability in compliance and racial differences in rates of disease progression or response to drug therapy.

3.4. Gender differences

US-based registry studies confirm that women with ACS are generally older and present more comorbidities than men [63], notably, between-gender differences in demographic, clinical, and treatment profiles are associated with greater risk of bleeding in female patients with MI undergoing PCI [85]. Similarly, in China, greater acute and post-discharge (1-year) mortality in women compared with men was associated with older age and less frequent receipt of reperfusion therapy [32]. In Korea, higher in-hospital and 1-year mortality in women was associated with a higher frequency of comorbidities, longer pain-to-door time, and more severe hemodynamic status [40,82,86]. In contrast, in India and Singapore, in-hospital management, discharge management, and outcomes generally did not differ between genders [20], although, in Singapore younger women with diabetes were considered to be at greater risk (adjusted hazard ratio [HR] vs >60 years, 1.35; 95% CI, 1.04–1.75) (F Gao, personal communication).

Collectively, these observations support an association between healthcare system quality, gender inequality, and ACS management and outcomes; women tend to be undertreated and, as both genders benefit from revascularization, a more aggressive approach is needed in women, including optimization of discharge medical regimens and improved compliance.

3.5. Education

Lack of patient education towards, chest pain awareness, the need for good treatment compliance, and the relative importance of medication types e.g., in multi-pill prescribing, alongside poor and/or delayed access to facilities, represents considerable barriers to improving outcomes. Specifically, poor patient education results in poor adherence/compliance with treatment associated with, for example, poor knowledge as to which dose/type of medication missed would present more significant consequences e.g., antiplatelet versus statin.

The implementation of post-hospital coaching programmes e.g., the Coaching patients On Achieving Cardiovascular Health (COACH)

programme in Australia has been shown to be effective in improving outcomes, both short-term (12 months) and longer-term (4-year follow-up) [87,88]; 'aware' patients are eight times more likely to be compliant than their counterparts as to the cardiac risks associated with non-compliance [89]. Along with the possibility the prevalence of non-compliance is likely underestimated due to recall bias and self under-reporting [89], improved patient education through sustained educational initiatives remains a key target for improving outcomes.

3.6. Pharmacotherapy support

In Australia, clopidogrel administered in-hospital is reimbursed and largely subsidized post-discharge but a gap still exists in pharmacotherapy use for secondary prevention [90–92]. Moreover, while DAPT typifies standard of care in Australia, adherence up to 12 months is only 60–70% [92,93] suggesting improvement in controlling risk factors, while increasing access and adherence to new pharmacologic therapies e.g., single-tablet CoPlavix, might improve compliance and outcomes. In contrast, ACS-related prescription adherence at 12 months post discharge in Singapore remains relatively high i.e., ≥96% including for aspirin and P2Y₁₂ antagonists but improvement is needed, in monitoring of prescription adherence and patient adherence during transition from tertiary to primary care.

In China and India there is high reliance on thrombolysis reperfusion (53%) versus PCI (12%) and CABG (0.1%) and most patients receive DAPT for at least 1 year following such procedures (Supplementary Table 2) [31,33,34]; there have, however, been no recent approvals for newer antiplatelet agents. Recent evidence showing that short-term DAPT (3–12 months) provides favourable clinical outcomes versus long-term use (12–24 months) [94–97] and that prolonged DAPT may benefit high-risk patients (AMI, diabetic, ESRD), and those receiving intervention for management of complex lesions [98], suggests a need for patient management individualized according to patient risk factors, disease activity and severity.

Accumulating data support variable hypercoagulability and associated clinical risk, and pharmacokinetic, pharmacodynamic, and pharmacogenetic profiles of cardiovascular drugs across ethnic cohorts [99]. Compared with Western cohorts, East Asian populations (including Chinese, Japanese, and Korean) demonstrate unique clinical outcomes associated with antithrombotic therapy, including increased levels of platelet reactivity (clopidogrel) and risk of bleeding events [100–107], or increased exposure to active metabolite (prasugrel and ticagrelor) [108–110]. In fact, this so-called "East Asian Paradox" has resulted in a different target international normalized ratio (1.6 to 2.6) being implemented in clinical practice among East Asian patients [111,112].

Recent randomized clinical trials of prasugrel and ticagrelor in East Asian patients support earlier large-scale studies in demonstrating that potent P2Y₁₂ receptor inhibitors can provide clinical benefit over clopidogrel in reducing risk from serious bleeding [113–116]. The modest sample sizes of Asian-specific trials does, however, limit their ability to deliver reliable conclusions, although signals of ethnic differences in the efficacy-safety balance of conventional antithrombotic drugs in East Asian patients e.g., increased tendency for bleeding for ticagrelor, may pose concern and further support tailored management. Nevertheless, such trials may help identify effective combinations of new potent antiplatelet therapies with older agents e.g., aspirin and clopidogrel, and which potentially circumvent resistance and/or non-response to these agents. However, long-term real-world data from the Asia-Pacific region are needed to fully assess the benefit to risk profile for new antiplatelet therapies.

3.7. Cost and adherence to treatment

Treatment cost to the patient is also a major barrier to long-term medication use in most countries in the Asia-Pacific region, a situation shown recently to be associated with poor patient awareness of the

consequences of non-compliance [89]. In China, fewer than 40% of patients remain adherent to medication at 12 months post-discharge, mostly due to patient refusal [117]. Significantly, however, patient non-adherence to antiplatelet agents is frequently due to their doctor considering them not indicated for such treatment, a situation compounded by different reimbursement mechanisms in cities versus rural areas. Cost issues also apply in India where 75% of patients pay for their own treatment. Notably, poorer patients have significantly higher mortality versus richer patients, a trend associated with lower rates of appropriate treatments and delays to intervention and door-to-balloon or -needle times frequently outside of recommended limits [33]. While delays to treatment are often economic in origin, reliance on private or public transport often further delays access to secondary- or tertiary-care hospitals [33].

In Taiwan, most patients are covered by healthcare insurance but with evident lag/deviation from evidence-based guidelines in ACS management [47,71]. Although adherence to DAPT for ≥9 months is associated with significantly lower mortality at 12 months versus antiplatelet monotherapy, the prescription rate of post-PCI DAPT shows a decline following discharge to 12 months (62–13%) with physicians citing the Bureau of National Health Insurance guidelines as the reason for discontinuing [clopidogrel] in 25% of cases [47]. Additionally, reimbursement appears variable and dependent upon the treatment received and affordability, for example, clopidogrel is covered for only 3 months following BMS implantation, compared with 9 months for other treatment modalities. Thus, overall, patient/physician education, adherence both to treatment and guidelines, and appropriate cost to the patient are crucial factors towards improving outcomes across the Asia-Pacific region.

4. Discussion

Based on current practice patterns, the authors considered where we are now and what the barriers are to further improve outcomes in the Asia-Pacific region.

4.1. Barriers to improving ACS outcomes in the Asia-Pacific region

Several key areas were discussed, a consistent observation across the Asia-Pacific region being the strong emphasis on 'benchmark' short-term clinical outcomes (e.g. in-hospital events and those occurring within 6 months of the index event) and relatively weak emphasis on long-term outcomes associated with inherent challenges posed by long-term follow-up per se and maintaining compliance.

Geography is the key barrier to timely in-hospital and post-discharge management in Australia and New Zealand. To support transition of care e.g., from remote areas, Australian health authorities are trialling pre-hospital/in-transit antithrombotic intervention supported by communication with the main cardiology treatment center. Evidence suggests that this approach, along with a clear in-hospital rapid triage pathway, markedly reduces door-to-balloon times. However, the potential real world utility of such intervention remains to be confirmed. In China, Hong Kong, India, and Taiwan, the provision of patient/public education towards chest pain awareness, need for compliance, and effective primary (e.g., lifestyle changes) and secondary prevention requires further considerable resource e.g., trained nurses and improved medical/health system procedures. These are not sufficiently robust at present, which contributes markedly to protracted total ischemic time i.e., prolonged symptom-to-treatment times in Taiwan and India. Effective assessment of patient understanding may help optimize compliance. From a study of adherence to antiplatelets post-coronary stenting, greatest awareness and compliance was seen among patients provided with information through the media and health talks, rather than a cardiologist [89]. Similarly, physician education may help through improved information transfer to patients. In China, many

patients do not receive evidence-based therapies as the treating physician considers them 'not indicated'. Adoption of guideline-recommended pharmacotherapy is poor over both the short- and medium-term and appears to be determined by factors other than risk of a recurrent event [117]. In India, there is a need to implement robust protocols for in-hospital treatment, reperfusion procedures, allocation of discharge medications, and the appropriate use of thrombolysis.

Continuity of care, i.e., transition from tertiary to primary care, is a major barrier to improved outcomes in Japan and Singapore, as is secondary prevention in Hong Kong. Improved patient and doctor awareness and improved outcomes might accrue through national medical education programmes (not yet implemented in Japan) and focus on educating those patients likely to understand the importance of compliance. In Singapore, improving continuity of care represents a policy-based resource allocation problem, currently better for the tertiary versus primary care sector.

4.2. Strategies to improve ACS outcomes in the Asia-Pacific region

The APRICOT working group made several key strategic recommendations to improve ACS outcomes in the Asia-Pacific region: longer-term patient surveillance, greater patient education (pain awareness, compliance, primary/secondary prevention), overcoming geographical challenges to pre-hospital and post-discharge care, and the adoption of value-based over cost-based healthcare systems. The group recommended a greater emphasis on improving longer-term outcomes in ACS. Also, an opportunity exists to improve follow-up and monitor compliance, particularly where patient history is already on record; programmes such as the ongoing NCDR-GWTG programme in the USA [118] have confirmed that recording of treatment received and outcomes achieved improved quality of care [119]. Poor patient compliance and adherence may be improved through community outreach to record adherence at 12 months, possibly via mail, telephone questionnaire, or during a hospital visit. Selected high-risk/low-compliance patients may then be entered into a compliance pathway to allow focus of resources towards patients most at risk. Technology-based solutions such as telemedicine may also aid community outreach programmes by circumventing the need to commute long distances between remote regions and healthcare facilities.

Greater patient education is needed to improve awareness of ACS-related chest pain to reduce time-to-treatment, and understanding of the need for adherence. Likewise, the US NCDR initiative has shown that performance measurement and feedback to hospitals and healthcare providers is effective in improving adherence to guidelines and could form a basis for medical reform and development of quality-of-care indicators. Against this scenario, patient engagement might be improved through implementation of broad community outcomes, including online consultation programs in large community hospitals.

Although large-scale randomized clinical trials such as TRITON-TIMI 38 [115] and PLATO [116] show an overall benefit of potent P2Y₁₂ inhibitors versus clopidogrel in ACS, these studies enrolled only less than 6% of patients from the Asia-Pacific region. Significantly, many cardiovascular drugs show differing pharmacokinetic, pharmacodynamic, and pharmacogenetic profiles across ethnic cohorts. For example, East Asian patients (including China, Japan, and Korea) show a lower antiplatelet effect to clopidogrel compared with Western patients, due to genetic polymorphisms that confer resistance to this drug [120–123]; recent clinical studies have shown East Asians may have an inherently different therapeutic zone of platelet reactivity during P2Y₁₂ inhibitor treatment and require different dosing regimens compared with Western populations [99]. The recent PRASIT-ACS study supported this concept, showing that low-dose prasugrel therapy (20 mg loading and 3.75 mg daily) reduced the risk of composite ischemic events by 23% compared with standard-dose clopidogrel therapy (300 mg loading

and 75 mg daily) [113]. Dedicated pharmacodynamic studies and clinical trials are urgently required to elucidate specific guidelines for antiplatelet agents among East Asians, in particular, antiplatelet effects with prasugrel and ticagrelor. We also need to strongly consider the paradigm shift from 'one-guideline-fits-all races' to 'tailored antiplatelet therapy' for different ethnic cohorts.

Asian subjects derive similar benefits as Western subjects at lower statin doses [124]. Unfortunately, the pharmaceutical industry often considers it financially non-viable to fund a large Asian-specific late-phase trial after a successful pivotal trial. A possible alternative would be to design and perform registry-based randomized controlled trials, such as the Thrombus Aspiration in ST-Elevation myocardial infarction in Scandinavia (TASTE) trial [125], that leverage existing ACS registries in Asia-Pacific countries.

Background medications other than DAPT are equally important in lowering residual risk and improving outcomes after an ACS. While generic formulations are now widely available for many proven background medications for ACS, including ACE-I/ARB, β -blockers, and statins, their long-term use for several decades after an ACS event will entail significant costs to healthcare systems. The APRICOT group recommends seeking more sustainable models of prescription financing, including private-public partnerships of cost sharing and a 'right patient, right drug' approach. Such a model is presently practised in selected public hospitals in Singapore, in which universal access to high-cost but high-efficacy medication like ticagrelor is restricted to patients with troponin-positive ACS. As the efficacy-safety balance is maximised in troponin-positive ACS [126], healthcare management systems may be more willing to pay for a high-efficacy but high-cost drug for a limited 12-month period. Such a 'right patient, right drug' approach is already widely applied to high-efficacy high-cost drugs such as herceptin for oestrogen receptor-positive breast cancer. Further incentives for both public and private partners could be a reduced drug cost if patients adhere to the full 12-month prescription and the exclusive use of generic formulations manufactured by the original company after patents end.

It was generally acknowledged that multi-pill prescribing can adversely impact upon compliance in many countries disadvantaged by geographic distance, while randomized controlled trials of the poly-pill formulation have shown significantly improved medication adherence in patients at high CVD risk [127] and potential utility in the acute ACS setting [34]. Strategies to improve in-hospital and discharge medical therapies, perhaps including the poly-pill formulation in the acute phase along with timely reperfusion, are important towards improving local process-of-care measures and ACS outcomes in remote geographic regions [20].

5. Conclusions

ACS remains a leading cause of mortality and morbidity in the Asia-Pacific region. While much effort has been made over the last decade to improve disease management, high variability in management practices and outcomes between countries and regions is still prevalent. This manuscript provides comprehensive insight for seven countries across the Asia-Pacific region into the problems currently being experienced in managing ACS across this region.

ACS-related mortality rates in this region remain high – around 5% in hospital and up to double this post-discharge – and there is much potential for improvement. Inherent risk factors are key determinants of outcomes in different ethnic groups, for example, a situation compounded by regional differences in AMI incidence in metropolitan versus rural districts. Improving outcomes will be multifactorial. Key 'barriers' towards improving outcomes in this region include patient education, the impact of geographical landscape, limited long-term adherence to guideline-based management, and the widespread adoption of cost-based rather than value-based healthcare systems.

Initiatives to overcome these barriers should include the implementation of pre-hospital management strategies, toolkits to aid in-hospital

treatment, greater community outreach with online patient/physician education and telemedicine, sustainable economic models to improve accessibility to effective pharmacotherapies, and secondary prevention initiatives distilled from high-quality 'real-world' data.

Disclosures

The meeting on which this report was based was sponsored by AstraZeneca. All authors received an honorarium to support attendance at the meeting, and preparation of meetings materials. All authors were provided with travel and hotel costs to attend the meeting. The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the independent assistance provided by Prime Medica Ltd (Knutsford, Cheshire, UK). Under the direction of the authors, Carl V Felton PhD (Prime Medica Ltd) performed the literature search and provided medical writing support during the manuscript development. The authors are responsible for opinions, conclusions and interpretation of the data. Employees of AstraZeneca were permitted to read the draft and final draft of the manuscript and make suggestions on scientific and technical accuracy only. It was the authors' decision whether to accept or reject any suggestions made by AstraZeneca. The development of this manuscript complied fully with international guidelines for Good Publication Practice.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcard.2015.04.073>.

References

- [1] World Health Organization, Cardiovascular diseases: fact sheet number 310 Available at: http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs310_2008.pdf 2008 (Accessed March 6, 2014).
- [2] T. Ohira, H. Iso, Cardiovascular disease epidemiology in Asia: an overview, *Circ. J.* 77 (2013) 1646–1652.
- [3] United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific, Economic and social survey of Asia and the Pacific: 2013 year-end update Available at: <http://www.unescap.org/pdd/publications/yearend2013/yearend-update2013.pdf> 2013 (Accessed March 6, 2014).
- [4] Economy Watch, Asia Pacific economic forecast Available at: http://www.economywatch.com/world_economy/asia-pacific/economic-forecast.html 2010 (Accessed March 6, 2014).
- [5] J.E. Park, C.E. Chiang, M. Munawar, et al., Lipid-lowering treatment in hypercholesterolaemic patients: the CEPHEUS Pan-Asian survey, *Eur. J. Prev. Cardiol.* 19 (2012) 781–794.
- [6] C.M. Khoo, M.L. Tan, Y. Wu, et al., Prevalence and control of hypercholesterolaemia as defined by NCEP-ATPIII guidelines and predictors of LDL-C goal attainment in a multi-ethnic Asian population, *Ann. Acad. Med. Singapore* 42 (2013) 379–387.
- [7] C.W. Hamm, J.P. Bassand, S. Agewall, et al., ESC Guidelines for the management of acute coronary syndromes in patients presenting without persistent ST-segment elevation: the task force for the management of acute coronary syndromes (ACS) in patients presenting without persistent ST-segment elevation of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC), *Eur. Heart J.* 32 (2011) 2999–3054.
- [8] P.G. Steg, S.K. James, D. Atar, et al., ESC Guidelines for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation, *Eur. Heart J.* 33 (2012) 2569–2619.
- [9] H. Jneid, J.L. Anderson, R.S. Wright, et al., 2012 ACCF/AHA focused update of the guideline for the management of patients with unstable angina/Non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction (updating the 2007 guideline and replacing the 2011 focused update): a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on practice guidelines, *Circulation* 126 (2012) 875–910.
- [10] P.T. O'Gara, F.G. Kushner, D.D. Ascheim, et al., 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of ST-elevation myocardial infarction: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines, *Circulation* 127 (2013) e362–e425.
- [11] New Zealand 2012 guidelines for the management of non ST-elevation acute coronary syndromes, N. Z. Med. J. 125 (2012) 122–147.
- [12] ST-elevation myocardial infarction: New Zealand management guidelines, N. Z. Med. J. 118 (2005) U1679.
- [13] J.L. Anderson, C.D. Adams, E.M. Antman, et al., 2012 ACCF/AHA focused update incorporated into the ACCF/AHA 2007 guidelines for the management of patients with unstable angina/non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines, *Circulation* 127 (2013) e663–e828.
- [14] China Society of Cardiology of Chinese Medical Association, Editorial Board of Chinese Journal of Cardiology, Guideline of non-ST segment elevation acute coronary syndrome, *Zhonghua Xin Xue Guan Bing Za Zhi* 40 (2012) 353–367.
- [15] Chinese expert consensus for antiplatelet therapy in non-revascularization patients with acute coronary syndrome working group. [Chinese expert consensus for antiplatelet therapy in non-revascularization patients with acute coronary syndrome (amendment)], *Zhong Guo Yi Kan* 46 (2011) 79–85.
- [16] China Society of Cardiology of Chinese Medical Association, Editorial Board of Chinese Journal of Cardiology, Guideline for diagnosis and treatment of patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction, *Zhonghua Xin Xue Guan Bing Za Zhi* 38 (2010) 675–690.
- [17] H. Boden, B.L. van der Hoeven, I. Karalis, M.J. Schalij, J.W. Jukema, Management of acute coronary syndrome: achievements and goals still to pursue. Novel developments in diagnosis and treatment, *J. Intern. Med.* 271 (2012) 521–536.
- [18] C.B. Granger, P.G. Steg, E. Peterson, et al., Medication performance measures and mortality following acute coronary syndromes, *Am. J. Med.* 118 (2005) 858–865.
- [19] D. Prabhakaran, S. Yusuf, S. Mehta, et al., Two-year outcomes in patients admitted with non-ST elevation acute coronary syndrome: results of the OASIS registry 1 and 2, *Indian Heart J.* 57 (2005) 217–225.
- [20] M.D. Huffman, D. Prabhakaran, A.K. Abraham, M.N. Krishnan, A.C. Nambiar, P.P. Mohanan, Optimal in-hospital and discharge medical therapy in acute coronary syndromes in Kerala: results from the Kerala acute coronary syndrome registry, *Circ. Cardiovasc. Qual. Outcomes* 6 (2013) 436–443.
- [21] A.S. Koh, L.W. Khin, L.M. Choi, et al., Percutaneous coronary intervention in Asians – are there differences in clinical outcome? *BMC Cardiovasc. Disord.* 11 (2011) 22.
- [22] K.A. Fox, S.G. Goodman, W. Klein, et al., Management of acute coronary syndromes. Variations in practice and outcome: findings from the Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events (GRACE), *Eur. Heart J.* 23 (2002) 1177–1189.
- [23] K.A. Fox, O.H. Dabbous, R.J. Goldberg, et al., Prediction of risk of death and myocardial infarction in the six months after presentation with acute coronary syndrome: prospective multinational observational study (GRACE), *BMJ* 333 (2006) 1091.
- [24] A.H. Al-Fiadhi, N. Andrianopoulos, O. Farouque, et al., Contemporary outcomes in women undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention for acute coronary syndromes, *Int. J. Cardiol.* 151 (2011) 195–199.
- [25] D.P. Chew, L.T. Huynh, D. Liew, C. Astley, A. Soman, D. Brieger, Potential survival gains in the treatment of myocardial infarction, *Heart* 95 (2009) 1844–1850.
- [26] D.P. Chew, J. French, T.G. Briffa, et al., Acute coronary syndrome care across Australia and New Zealand: the SNAPSHOT ACS study, *Med. J. Aust.* 199 (2013) 185–191.
- [27] X.T. Song, Y.D. Chen, W.Q. Pan, S.Z. Lu, Gender based differences in patients with acute coronary syndrome: findings from Chinese Registry of Acute Coronary Events (CRACE), *Chin. Med. J. (Engl.)* 120 (2007) 1063–1067.
- [28] R. Gao, A. Patel, W. Gao, et al., Prospective observational study of acute coronary syndromes in China: practice patterns and outcomes, *Heart* 94 (2008) 554–560.
- [29] J.J. Shang, H. Shi, Q. Zhou, W. Gao, H.X. Liu, A study of prognosis, outcome, and changing tendency of hospitalized AMI patients in Beijing third-grade A-level traditional Chinese medicine hospitals from 1999 to 2008, *Evid. Based Complement. Alternat. Med.* 2012 (2012) 837194.
- [30] H.Q. Tan, J. Zhu, Y. Liang, Y. Zhang, L.S. Liu, Two year follow-up of acute ischemic syndrome without ST elevation, *Zhonghua Yi Xue Za Zhi* 85 (2005) 184–188.
- [31] Y.M. Yang, J. Zhu, H.Q. Tan, et al., Clinical characteristics and management of patients with ST segment elevation myocardial infarction in China: survey of 7510 cases, *Zhonghua Yi Xue Za Zhi* 85 (2005) 2176–2182.
- [32] B. Zhang, D.M. Jiang, X.C. Zhou, et al., Prospective multi-center study of female patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction in Liaoning province, China, *Chin. Med. J. (Engl.)* 125 (2012) 1915–1919.
- [33] D. Xavier, P. Pais, P.J. Devereaux, et al., Treatment and outcomes of acute coronary syndromes in India (CREATE): a prospective analysis of registry data, *Lancet* 371 (2008) 1435–1442.
- [34] P.P. Mohanan, R. Mathew, S. Harikrishnan, et al., Presentation, management, and outcomes of 25,748 acute coronary syndrome admissions in Kerala, India: results from the Kerala ACS Registry, *Eur. Heart J.* 34 (2013) 121–129.
- [35] H. Kasanuki, T. Honda, K. Haze, et al., A large-scale prospective cohort study on the current status of therapeutic modalities for acute myocardial infarction in Japan: rationale and initial results of the HIJAMI Registry, *Am. Heart J.* 150 (2005) 411–418.
- [36] M. Nakamura, M. Yamagishi, T. Ueno, et al., Current treatment of ST elevation acute myocardial infarction in Japan: door-to-balloon time and total ischemic time from the J-AMI registry, *Cardiovasc. Interv. Ther.* 28 (2013) 30–36.
- [37] T. Toyota, Y. Furukawa, N. Ehara, et al., Sex-based differences in clinical practice and outcomes for Japanese patients with acute myocardial infarction undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention, *Circ. J.* 77 (2013) 1508–1517.
- [38] H. Daida, K. Miyauchi, H. Ogawa, et al., Management and two-year long-term clinical outcome of acute coronary syndrome in Japan: prevention of atherothrombotic incidents following ischemic coronary attack (PACIFIC) registry, *Circ. J.* 77 (2013) 934–943.
- [39] D.S. Sim, J.H. Kim, M.H. Jeong, Differences in clinical outcomes between patients with ST-elevation versus non-ST-elevation acute myocardial infarction in Korea, *Korean Circ. J.* 39 (2009) 297–303.

- [40] S.H. Kang, J.W. Suh, C.H. Yoon, et al., Sex differences in management and mortality of patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction (from the Korean Acute Myocardial Infarction National Registry), *Am. J. Cardiol.* 109 (2012) 787–793.
- [41] H.T. Lu, R.B. Nordin, Ethnic differences in the occurrence of acute coronary syndrome: results of the Malaysian National Cardiovascular Disease (NCVD) Database Registry (March 2006– February 2010), *BMC Cardiovasc. Disord.* 13 (2013) 97.
- [42] W.A. Ahmad, R.M. Ali, M. Khanom, et al., The journey of Malaysian NCVD-PCI (National Cardiovascular Disease Database-Percutaneous Coronary Intervention) Registry: a summary of three years report, *Int. J. Cardiol.* 165 (2013) 161–164.
- [43] A.T. Tan, S.C. Emmanuel, B.Y. Tan, W.S. Teo, T.S. Chua, B.H. Tan, Myocardial infarction in Singapore: a nationwide 10-year study of multiethnic differences in incidence and mortality, *Ann. Acad. Med. Singapore* 31 (2002) 479–486.
- [44] National Registry of Diseases Office MoHS, Singapore Myocardial Infarction Registry Report number 1: trends in acute myocardial infarction in Singapore 2007–2010. Available at: www.nrdo.gov.sg/uploadedFiles/NRDO/Publications/AMI_16.pdf 2013 (Accessed November 18, 2013).
- [45] L.C. Lee, K.K. Poh, T.P. Tang, Y.L. Tan, H.W. Tee, H.C. Tan, The impact of gender on the outcomes of invasive versus conservative management of patients with non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction, *Ann. Acad. Med. Singapore* 39 (2010) 168–172.
- [46] M.Y. Chan, B.R. Shah, F. Gao, et al., Recalibration of the Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events risk score in a multiethnic Asian population, *Am. Heart J.* 162 (2011) 291–299.
- [47] C.I. Cheng, C.P. Chen, P.L. Kuan, et al., The causes and outcomes of inadequate implementation of existing guidelines for antiplatelet treatment in patients with acute coronary syndrome: the experience from Taiwan Acute Coronary Syndrome Descriptive Registry (T-ACCORD Registry), *Clin. Cardiol.* 33 (2010) E40–E48.
- [48] K.-G. Shyu, C.-J. Wu, G.-Y. Mar, et al., Clinical characteristics, management and in-hospital outcomes of patients with acute coronary syndrome – observations from the Taiwan ACS Full Spectrum Registry, *Acta Cardiol. Sin.* 27 (2011) 135–144.
- [49] S. Srimahachota, R. Kanjanavanit, S. Boonyaratavej, W. Boonsom, G. Veerakul, D. Tresukosol, Demographic, management practices and in-hospital outcomes of Thai Acute Coronary Syndrome Registry (TACS): the difference from the Western world, *J. Med. Assoc. Thai.* 90 (Suppl. 1) (2007) 1–11.
- [50] W. Tungsubutra, D. Tresukosol, R. Kittayaphong, P. Panchavinnin, C. Chotnaitattarakul, R. Phankitongkhum, Acute coronary syndrome in 1366 patients at Siriraj Hospital: clinical characteristics, management and in-hospital outcomes, *J. Med. Assoc. Thai.* 90 (Suppl. 2) (2007) 25–32.
- [51] D.P. Chew, J.V. Amerena, S.G. Coverdale, et al., Invasive management and late clinical outcomes in contemporary Australian management of acute coronary syndromes: observations from the ACACIA registry, *Med. J. Aust.* 188 (2008) 691–697.
- [52] M. Shihara, H. Tsutsui, M. Tsuchihashi, H. Tada, S. Kono, A. Takeshita, In-hospital and one-year outcomes for patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention for acute myocardial infarction, *Am. J. Cardiol.* 90 (2002) 932–936.
- [53] H.C. Jeong, Y.K. Ahn, M.H. Jeong, et al., Intensive pharmacologic treatment in patients with acute non ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction who did not undergo percutaneous coronary intervention, *Circ. J.* 72 (2008) 1403–1409.
- [54] S. Selvarajah, A.Y. Fong, G. Selvaraj, et al., Impact of cardiac care variation on ST-elevation myocardial infarction outcomes in Malaysia, *Am. J. Cardiol.* 111 (2013) 1270–1276.
- [55] T.H. Hsieh, J.D. Wang, L.M. Tsai, Improving in-hospital mortality in elderly patients after acute coronary syndrome—a nationwide analysis of 97,220 patients in Taiwan during 2004–2008, *Int. J. Cardiol.* 155 (2012) 149–154.
- [56] F.A. Spencer, J.J. Santopinto, J.M. Gore, et al., Impact of aspirin on presentation and hospital outcomes in patients with acute coronary syndromes (The Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events [GRACE]), *Am. J. Cardiol.* 90 (2002) 1056–1061.
- [57] D.P. Chew, F.A. Anderson, A. Avezum, et al., Six-month survival benefits associated with clinical guideline recommendations in acute coronary syndromes, *Heart* 96 (2010) 1201–1206.
- [58] A.E. Ajani, C.M. Reid, S.J. Duffy, et al., Outcomes after percutaneous coronary intervention in contemporary Australian practice: insights from a large multicentre registry, *Med. J. Aust.* 189 (2008) 423–428.
- [59] J.V. Tu, J. Bowen, M. Chiu, et al., Effectiveness and safety of drug-eluting stents in Ontario, *N. Engl. J. Med.* 357 (2007) 1393–1402.
- [60] B. Lagerqvist, S.K. James, U. Stenestrand, J. Lindback, T. Nilsson, L. Wallentin, Long-term outcomes with drug-eluting stents versus bare-metal stents in Sweden, *N. Engl. J. Med.* 356 (2007) 1009–1019.
- [61] C.S. Fox, P. Muntner, A.Y. Chen, et al., Use of evidence-based therapies in short-term outcomes of ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction and non-ST38 segment elevation myocardial infarction in patients with chronic kidney disease: a report from the National Cardiovascular Data Acute Coronary Treatment and Intervention Outcomes Network registry, *Circulation* 121 (2010) 357–365.
- [62] C.T. Chin, A.Y. Chen, T.Y. Wang, et al., Risk adjustment for in-hospital mortality of contemporary patients with acute myocardial infarction: the acute coronary treatment and intervention outcomes network (ACTION) registry-get with the guidelines (GWTG) acute myocardial infarction mortality model and risk score, *Am. Heart J.* 161 (2011) 113–122.
- [63] N. Akhter, S. Milford-Beland, M.T. Roe, R.N. Piana, J. Kao, A. Shroff, Gender differences among patients with acute coronary syndromes undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention in the American College of Cardiology-National Cardiovascular Data Registry (ACC-NCDR), *Am. Heart J.* 157 (2009) 141–148.
- [64] M. Nakamura, T. Yamashita, Y. Tajima, et al., Clinical outcome after acute coronary syndrome in Japanese patients: an observational cohort study, *J. Cardiol.* 55 (2010) 69–76.
- [65] E.L. Hannan, M.J. Racz, D.T. Arani, T.J. Ryan, G. Walford, B.D. McCallister, Short- and long-term mortality for patients undergoing primary angioplasty for acute myocardial infarction, *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 36 (2000) 1194–1201.
- [66] J.H. O'Keefe Jr., W.L. Bailey, B.D. Rutherford, G.O. Hartzler, Primary angioplasty for acute myocardial infarction in 1,000 consecutive patients. Results in an unselected population and high-risk subgroups, *Am. J. Cardiol.* 72 (1993) 107G–115G.
- [67] W.J. Rogers, J.G. Canto, C.T. Lambrew, et al., Temporal trends in the treatment of over 1.5 million patients with myocardial infarction in the US from 1990 through 1999: the National Registry of Myocardial Infarction 1, 2 and 3, *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 36 (2000) 2056–2063.
- [68] K.H. Lee, M.H. Jeong, Y. Ahn, M.C. Cho, C.J. Kim, Y.J. Kim, New horizons of acute myocardial infarction: from the Korea Acute Myocardial Infarction Registry, *J. Korean Med. Sci.* 28 (2013) 173–180.
- [69] E.D. Peterson, D. Dai, E.R. DeLong, et al., Contemporary mortality risk prediction for percutaneous coronary intervention: results from 588,398 procedures in the National Cardiovascular Data Registry, *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 55 (2010) 1923–1932.
- [70] B.P. Yan, D.J. Clark, B. Buxton, et al., Clinical characteristics and early mortality of patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting compared to percutaneous coronary intervention: insights from the Australasian Society of Cardiac and Thoracic Surgeons (ASCTS) and the Melbourne Interventional Group (MIG) Registries, *Heart Lung Circ.* 18 (2009) 184–190.
- [71] F.T. Chiang, K.G. Shyu, C.J. Wu, et al., Predictors of 1-year outcomes in the Taiwan Acute Coronary Syndrome Full Spectrum Registry, *J. Formos. Med. Assoc.* 113 (2014) 794–802.
- [72] A. Phrommintikul, S. Kuanprasert, T. Limpitjankit, K. Hengrassamee, In-hospital invasive strategy and outcomes in non-ST elevation acute coronary syndrome management from Thai Acute Coronary Syndrome Registry, *J. Med. Assoc. Thai.* 90 (Suppl. 1) (2007) 74–80.
- [73] W. Tungsubutra, D. Tresukosol, W. Buddhari, W. Boonsom, S. Sanguanwang, B. Srichaiveth, Acute coronary syndrome in young adults: the Thai ACS Registry, *J. Med. Assoc. Thai.* 90 (Suppl. 1) (2007) 81–90.
- [74] S. Srimahachota, S. Boonyaratavej, R. Kanjanavanit, et al., Thai Registry in Acute Coronary Syndrome (TRACS)—an extension of Thai Acute Coronary Syndrome registry (TACS) group: lower in-hospital but still high mortality at one-year, *J. Med. Assoc. Thai.* 95 (2012) 508–518.
- [75] B.P. Yan, A.E. Ajani, D.J. Clark, et al., Recent trends in Australian percutaneous coronary intervention practice: insights from the Melbourne Interventional Group registry, *Med. J. Aust.* 195 (2011) 122–127.
- [76] D. Eccleston, M. Horrigan, T. Rafter, P. Sage, D. Latchem, Long-term outcomes after percutaneous coronary intervention in Australian private hospitals; results from a national registry, *Circ. Cardiovasc. Qual. Outcomes* 6 (2013) A35.
- [77] L. Song, Y.J. Yang, S.Z. Lu, et al., Cause of in-hospital death among acute myocardial infarction patients undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention in Beijing, *Zhonghua Xin Xue Guan Bing Za Zhi* 40 (2012) 554–559.
- [78] H.X. Liu, W. Gao, D. Zhao, J.J. Shang, Survey on the hospitalization treatment status of acute myocardial infarction patients in 13 hospitals of western medicine and traditional Chinese medicine in Beijing, *Zhonghua Xin Xue Guan Bing Za Zhi* 38 (2010) 306–310.
- [79] H. Shiomi, Y. Nakagawa, T. Morimoto, et al., Association of onset to balloon and door to balloon time with long term clinical outcome in patients with ST elevation acute myocardial infarction having primary percutaneous coronary intervention: observational study, *BMJ* 344 (2012) e3257.
- [80] K.Y. Chen, S.W. Rha, Y.J. Li, et al., Triple versus dual antiplatelet therapy in patients with acute ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention, *Circulation* 119 (2009) 3207–3214.
- [81] J.H. Lee, D.H. Yang, H.S. Park, et al., Suboptimal use of evidence-based medical therapy in patients with acute myocardial infarction from the Korea Acute Myocardial Infarction Registry: prescription rate, predictors, and prognostic value, *Am. Heart J.* 159 (2010) 1012–1019.
- [82] J.S. Park, Y.J. Kim, D.G. Shin, et al., Gender differences in clinical features and in-hospital outcomes in ST-segment elevation acute myocardial infarction: from the Korean Acute Myocardial Infarction Registry (KAMIR) study, *Clin. Cardiol.* 33 (2010) E1–E6.
- [83] L.P. de Carvalho, F. Gao, Q. Chen, et al., Differences in late cardiovascular mortality following acute myocardial infarction in three major Asian ethnic groups, *Eur. Heart J. Acute Cardiovasc. Care* 3 (2014) 354–362.
- [84] Epidemiology and Disease Control Division MoHS, National Health Survey 2010. Available at: http://www.moh.gov.sg/content/dam/moh_web/Publications/Reports/2011/NHS2010%20-%20low%20res.pdf 2010 (Accessed November 18, 2013).
- [85] C.N. Hess, L.A. McCoy, H.J. Duggirala, et al., Sex-based differences in outcomes after percutaneous coronary intervention for acute myocardial infarction: a report from TRANSLATE-ACS, *J. Am. Heart Assoc.* 3 (2014) e000523.
- [86] H.T. Yu, K.J. Kim, W.D. Bang, et al., Gender-based differences in the management and prognosis of acute coronary syndrome in Korea, *Yonsei Med. J.* 52 (2011) 562–568.
- [87] M.J. Vale, M.V. Jelinek, J.D. Best, et al., Coaching patients On Achieving Cardiovascular Health (COACH): a multicenter randomized trial in patients with coronary heart disease, *Arch. Intern. Med.* 163 (2003) 2775–2783.
- [88] M.J. Vale, V. Sundararajan, M.V. Jelinek, J.D. Best, Oral presentation at the 77th Scientific Sessions of the American Heart Association, 7–10 November 2004, New Orleans, Louisiana, USA, *Circulation* 110 (2004) (Suppl., III-801).
- [89] N.L. Lee, C.M. Yu, Y.Y. Lam, V.W. Lee, B.P. Yan, Patient awareness of serious consequences of non-adherence to antiplatelet therapy after coronary stenting, *Int. J. Cardiol.* 166 (2013) 278–279.

- [90] C.M. Astley, C.J. Macdougall, P.M. Davidson, D.P. Chew, Lost in translation: health resource variability in the achievement of optimal performance and clinical outcome, *Circ. Cardiovasc. Qual. Outcomes* 4 (5) (Sep 2011) 512–520.
- [91] T. Briffa, C.K. Chow, A.M. Clark, J. Redfern, Improving outcomes after acute coronary syndrome with rehabilitation and secondary prevention, *Clin. Ther.* 35 (8) (Aug 2013) 1076–1081.
- [92] J.A. Cole, A.L. Brennan, A.E. Ajani, B.P. Yan, S.J. Duffy, P. Loane, C.M. Reid, M.B. Yudi, G. New, A. Black, J. Shaw, D.J. Clark, N. Andrianopoulos, Cardiovascular medication use following percutaneous coronary intervention: the Australian experience, *Cardiovasc. Ther.* 32 (2) (Apr 2014) 47–51.
- [93] M.R. Nelson, C.M. Reid, P. Ryan, K. Willson, L. Yelland, Self-reported adherence with medication and cardiovascular disease outcomes in the Second Australian National Blood Pressure Study (ANBP2), *Med. J. Aust.* 185 (2006) 487–489.
- [94] H.C. Gwon, J.Y. Hahn, K.W. Park, et al., Six-month versus 12-month dual antiplatelet therapy after implantation of drug-eluting stents: the efficacy of xience/promus versus cypher to reduce late loss after stenting (EXCELLENT) randomized, multicenter study, *Circulation* 125 (2012) 505–513.
- [95] B.K. Kim, M.K. Hong, D.H. Shin, et al., A new strategy for discontinuation of dual antiplatelet therapy: the RESET Trial (REal safety and efficacy of 3-month dual antiplatelet therapy following endeavor zotarolimus-eluting stent implantation), *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 60 (2012) 1340–1348.
- [96] C.W. Lee, J.M. Ahn, D.W. Park, et al., Optimal duration of dual antiplatelet therapy after drug-eluting stent implantation: a randomized, controlled trial, *Circulation* 129 (2014) 304–312.
- [97] S.J. Park, D.W. Park, Y.H. Kim, et al., Duration of dual antiplatelet therapy after implantation of drug-eluting stents, *N. Engl. J. Med.* 362 (2010) 1374–1382.
- [98] P.A. Gurbel, E. Mahla, U.S. Tantry, The enigmatic search for optimal DAPT duration, *Eur. Heart J.* 35 (2013) 951–954.
- [99] Y.H. Jeong, “East asian paradox”: challenge for the current antiplatelet strategy of “one-guideline-fits-all races” in acute coronary syndrome, *Curr. Cardiol. Rep.* 16 (2014) 485.
- [100] I.S. Kim, Y.H. Jeong, U.S. Tantry, et al., Relation between the vasodilator-stimulated phosphoprotein phosphorylation assay and light transmittance aggregometry in East Asian patients after high-dose clopidogrel loading, *Am. Heart J.* 166 (2013) 95–103.
- [101] Y.G. Ko, J.W. Suh, B.H. Kim, et al., Comparison of 2 point-of-care platelet function tests, VerifyNow Assay and Multiple Electrode Platelet Aggregometry, for predicting early clinical outcomes in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention, *Am. Heart J.* 161 (2011) 383–390.
- [102] K.W. Park, K.H. Jeon, S.H. Kang, et al., Clinical outcomes of high on-treatment platelet reactivity in Koreans receiving elective percutaneous coronary intervention (from results of the CROSS VERIFY study), *Am. J. Cardiol.* 108 (2011) 1556–1563.
- [103] J.W. Suh, S.P. Lee, K.W. Park, et al., Multicenter randomized trial evaluating the efficacy of cilostazol on ischemic vascular complications after drug-eluting stent implantation for coronary heart disease: results of the CILON-T (influence of Cilostazol-based triple antiplatelet therapy ON ischemic complication after drug-eluting stent implantation) trial, *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 57 (2011) 280–289.
- [104] Y.H. Jeong, K. Lee, S.Y. Yoo, J.H. Park, J. Suh, K.H. Park, Accelerated inhibition on platelet aggregation, inflammation and myonecrosis by adjunctive cilostazol loading in patients with acute coronary syndrome: the results of the ACCEL-LOADING-ACS multicenter randomized trial, *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 59 (2012) E455.
- [105] S.G. Ahn, S.H. Lee, J.H. Yoon, et al., Different prognostic significance of high on-treatment platelet reactivity as assessed by the VerifyNow P2Y12 assay after coronary stenting in patients with and without acute myocardial infarction, *JACC Cardiovasc. Interv.* 5 (2012) 259–267.
- [106] H.Y. Jin, T.H. Yang, D.I. Kim, et al., High post-clopidogrel platelet reactivity assessed by a point-of-care assay predicts long-term clinical outcomes in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction who underwent primary coronary stenting, *Int. J. Cardiol.* 167 (2013) 1877–1881.
- [107] H.Z. Zhang, M.H. Kim, Y.H. Jeong, Predictive values of post-clopidogrel platelet reactivity assessed by different platelet function tests on ischemic events in East Asian patients treated with PCI, *Platelets* 25 (2013) 292–299.
- [108] D.S. Small, P. Kothare, E. Yuen, et al., The pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of prasugrel in healthy Chinese, Japanese, and Korean subjects compared with healthy Caucasian subjects, *Eur. J. Clin. Pharmacol.* 66 (2010) 127–135.
- [109] H. Li, K. Butler, L. Yang, Z. Yang, R. Teng, Pharmacokinetics and tolerability of single and multiple doses of ticagrelor in healthy Chinese subjects: an open-label, sequential, two-cohort, single-centre study, *Clin. Drug Investig.* 32 (2012) 87–97.
- [110] R. Teng, Pharmacokinetic, pharmacodynamic and pharmacogenetic profile of the oral antiplatelet agent ticagrelor, *Clin. Pharmacokinet.* 51 (2012) 305–318.
- [111] T. Yamaguchi, Optimal intensity of warfarin therapy for secondary prevention of stroke in patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation: a multicenter, prospective, randomized trial. Japanese Nonvalvular Atrial Fibrillation-Embolism Secondary Prevention Cooperative Study Group, *Stroke* 31 (2000) 817–821.
- [112] Guidelines for pharmacotherapy of atrial fibrillation (JCS 2008): digest version, *Circ. J.* 74 (2010) 2479–2500.
- [113] S. Saito, T. Isshiki, T. Kimura, et al., Efficacy and safety of adjusted-dose prasugrel compared with clopidogrel in Japanese patients with acute coronary syndrome, *Circ. J.* 78 (2014) 1684–1692.
- [114] AstraZeneca, Study to assess safety and efficacy of ticagrelor (AZD6140) versus clopidogrel in Asian/Japanese patients with non-ST or ST elevation acute coronary syndromes (ACS) Available at: <http://www.astrazenecaclinicaltrials.com/2014> (Accessed March 6, 2014).
- [115] S.D. Wiviott, E. Braunwald, C.H. McCabe, et al., Prasugrel versus clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes, *N. Engl. J. Med.* 357 (2007) 2001–2015.
- [116] L. Wallentin, R.C. Becker, A. Budaj, et al., Ticagrelor versus clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes, *N. Engl. J. Med.* 361 (2009) 1045–1057.
- [117] Y. Bi, R. Gao, A. Patel, et al., Evidence-based medication use among Chinese patients with acute coronary syndromes at the time of hospital discharge and 1 year after hospitalization: results from the Clinical Pathways for Acute Coronary Syndromes in China (CPACS) study, *Am. Heart J.* 157 (2009) 509–516.
- [118] National Cardiovascular Data Registry, ACTION Registry®–GWTG™ Available at: <https://www.ncdr.com/webncdr/ACTION/2014> (Accessed March 6, 2014).
- [119] W. Laskey, N. Spence, X. Zhao, et al., Regional differences in quality of care and outcomes for the treatment of acute coronary syndromes: an analysis from the get with the guidelines coronary artery disease program, *Crit. Pathw. Cardiol.* 9 (2010) 1–7.
- [120] Y.H. Jeong, U.S. Tantry, I.S. Kim, et al., Effect of CYP2C19*2 and *3 loss-of-function alleles on platelet reactivity and adverse clinical events in East Asian acute myocardial infarction survivors treated with clopidogrel and aspirin, *Circ. Cardiovasc. Interv.* 4 (2011) 585–594.
- [121] M.S. Hasan, H.B. Basri, L.P. Hin, J. Stanslas, Genetic polymorphisms and drug interactions leading to clopidogrel resistance: why the Asian population requires special attention, *Int. J. Neurosci.* 123 (2013) 143–154.
- [122] S.J. Hwang, Y.H. Jeong, I.S. Kim, et al., The cytochrome 2C19*2 and *3 alleles attenuate response to clopidogrel similarly in East Asian patients undergoing elective percutaneous coronary intervention, *Thromb. Res.* 127 (2011) 23–28.
- [123] T. Jinna, H. Horiuchi, T. Makiyama, et al., Impact of CYP2C19 polymorphisms on the antiplatelet effect of clopidogrel in an actual clinical setting in Japan, *Circ. J.* 73 (2009) 1498–1503.
- [124] J.K. Liao, Safety and efficacy of statins in Asians, *Am. J. Cardiol.* 99 (2007) 410–414.
- [125] O. Frobert, B. Lagerqvist, T. Gudnason, et al., Thrombus Aspiration in ST-Elevation myocardial infarction in Scandinavia (TASTE trial). A multicenter, prospective, randomized, controlled clinical registry trial based on the Swedish angiography and angioplasty registry (SCAAR) platform. Study design and rationale, *Am. Heart J.* 160 (2010) 1042–1048.
- [126] L. Wallentin, D. Lindholm, A. Siegbahn, et al., Biomarkers in relation to the effects of ticagrelor in comparison with clopidogrel in non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndrome patients managed with or without in-hospital revascularization: a substudy from the Prospective Randomized Platelet Inhibition and Patient Outcomes (PLATO) trial, *Circulation* 129 (2014) 293–303.
- [127] S. Thom, N. Poulter, J. Field, et al., Effects of a fixed-dose combination strategy on adherence and risk factors in patients with or at high risk of CVD: the UMPIRE randomized clinical trial, *JAMA* 310 (2013) 918–929.